

No syntax errors found.
Please wait while processing

[CIF dictionary](#)
[Interpreting this report](#)

Datablock: global

| | | | |
|--------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Bond precision: | | | Wavelength= |
| Cell: | a= | b= | c= |
| | alpha= | beta= | gamma= |
| Temperature: ? | | | |
| | Calculated | | Reported |
| Volume | | | |
| Space group | | | |
| Hall group | | | |
| Moiety formula | | | |
| Sum formula | | | |
| Mr | | | |
| Dx, g cm-3 | | | |
| Z | | | |
| Mu (mm-1) | | | |
| F000 | | | |
| F000' | | | |
| h,k,lmax | | | |
| Nref | | | |
| Tmin,Tmax | | | |
| Tmin' | | | |
| Correction method= | Not given | | |
| Data completeness= | | Theta(max)= | |
| R(reflections)= | | wR2(reflections)= | |
| S = | | Npar= | |

The following ALERTS were generated. Each ALERT has the format
[test-name_ALERT_alert-type_alert-level](#).
Click on the hyperlinks for more details of the test.

Alert level G

[FORMU01_ALERT_1_G](#) There is a discrepancy between the atom counts in the
_chemical_formula_sum and _chemical_formula_moiety. This is
usually due to the moiety formula being in the wrong format.
Atom count from _chemical_formula_sum: C24 H27 N3 Ni1 O12.06 P3
Atom count from _chemical_formula_moiety: C24 H27 N3 Ni1 O12.076 P3

[FORMU01_ALERT_2_G](#) There is a discrepancy between the atom counts in the
_chemical_formula_sum and the formula from the _atom_site* data.
Atom count from _chemical_formula_sum: C24 H27 N3 Ni1 O12.06 P3
Atom count from the _atom_site data: C24 H27 N3 Ni1 O12.07800 P3

0 **ALERT level A** = Most likely a serious problem - resolve or explain
0 **ALERT level B** = A potentially serious problem, consider carefully
0 **ALERT level C** = Check. Ensure it is not caused by an omission or oversight
2 **ALERT level G** = General information/check it is not something unexpected

1 ALERT type 1 CIF construction/syntax error, inconsistent or missing data
1 ALERT type 2 Indicator that the structure model may be wrong or deficient
0 ALERT type 3 Indicator that the structure quality may be low
0 ALERT type 4 Improvement, methodology, query or suggestion
0 ALERT type 5 Informative message, check

It is advisable to attempt to resolve as many as possible of the alerts in all categories. Often the minor alerts point to easily fixed oversights, errors and omissions in your CIF or refinement strategy, so attention to these fine details can be worthwhile. In order to resolve some of the more serious problems it may be necessary to carry out additional measurements or structure refinements. However, the purpose of your study may justify the reported deviations and the more serious of these should normally be commented upon in the discussion or experimental section of a paper or in the "special_details" fields of the CIF. checkCIF was carefully designed to identify outliers and unusual parameters, but every test has its limitations and alerts that are not important in a particular case may appear. Conversely, the absence of alerts does not guarantee there are no aspects of the results needing attention. It is up to the individual to critically assess their own results and, if necessary, seek expert advice.

Publication of your CIF in IUCr journals

A basic structural check has been run on your CIF. These basic checks will be run on all CIFs submitted for publication in IUCr journals (*Acta Crystallographica*, *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, *Journal of Synchrotron Radiation*); however, if you intend to submit to *Acta Crystallographica Section C* or *E* or *IUCrData*, you should make sure that [full publication checks](#) are run on the final version of your CIF prior to submission.

Publication of your CIF in other journals

Please refer to the *Notes for Authors* of the relevant journal for any special instructions relating to CIF submission.

PLATON version of ; check.def file version of

[Download CIF editor \(publCIF\) from the IUCr](#)
[Download CIF editor \(enCIFer\) from the CCDC](#)
[Test a new CIF entry](#)